Standards of Public Land Health
Evaluation of 63108 ANCHO Allotment
[12/17/2009]

The Roswell Field Office conducted rangeland health assessments at 1 study site within 63108 ANCHO. The assessments looked at the Soil/Site Stability, Hydrologic Function and Biotic Integrity indicators within the vicinity of each study site. Existing monitoring data was incorporated into and in support of the field assessment. The summary of each assessment is attached and shown in the following table.

Study Area or Assessment Area	UPLAND			BIOTIC			RIPARIAN		
	Meets	Monitor an Indicator	Does Not Meet	Meets	Monitor an Indicator	Does Not Meet	Meets	Monitor an Indicator	Does Not Meet
63108-IDSU-A187	X			X			N/A		

Twenty-two (22) indicators for Rangeland Health were evaluated for public land on the Ancho, 63108. Ten of these assessed soil site stability, 11 hydrologic functions and 13 assessed biotic integrity. These qualitative assessments in conjunction with quantitative information gathered from previous data collected at the trend study plot locations within the allotment were utilized to make rangeland health determinations. Quantitative evaluations are performed by the Roswell Field Office interdisciplinary teams, which include some or all of the following; ground and vegetative cover and composition, production, frequency and ecological condition. The collections which were initiated in the late 1970's/early 1980's, are scheduled and conducted approximately every 5 years. This allotment is in the "C" (Custodial) category.

This allotment contains 1,188 acres of public land. The study is located on a Loamy CP-3 ecological site. The majority of the indicators fell in the "None to Slight" or "Slight to Moderate" category. Four of the indicators addressing Soil/Site Stability, five of the indicators addressing hydrologic function and five of the indicators assessing biotic integrity were rated as "Moderate". Most of these ratings were concerned with the amount of soil that was exposed and the influence that is played by the vegetation. The specialists indicated the study location was within 0.25 miles of an livestock water, which would influence the results of the Rangeland Health Assessment.

There are no riparian areas on the public land within this allotment.

Recommendations: The first recommendation made by the specialists is to move the study location to an area that represents the pasture, and is between 0.5 to 0.75 miles from a water location. As the indicators were rated from "None to Slight" to "Moderate" category, and the majority of them fell into "None to Slight" or "Slight to Moderate" this allotment is rated as "Meeting" the standard for Rangeland Health. Continue the rangeland monitoring studies to

insure proper stocking rates are maintained and that perennial grass cover and good plant composition remains.

RFOs Upland and Biotic Standard Assessment Summary Worksheet			
SITE 63108-IDSU-A187			
Legal Land Desc	SWNW 1 0040S 0110E Meridian 23	Acreage	1188
Ecosite	070CY109NM LOAMY CP-3	Photo Taken	Y
Watershed	13050003020 LARGO		
Observers	TRAUTNER, ORTEGA	Observation Date	12/17/2009
County Soil Survey	NM632 LINCOLN	Soil Var/Taxad	
Soil Map Unit	082	Soil Taxon Name	SHARPS
Texture Class	NM632 SIL	Soil Phase	SHARPS
Texture Modifier	NM632 SILT LOAM		
Observed Avg Annual Precipitation		Observed Avg Growing Season Precipitation	
NOAA Annual Precipitation		NOAA Growing Season Precipitation	
NOAA Avg Annual Precipitation		NOAA Avg Growing Season Precipitation	
Disturbances and Animal Use:	Use evident by wildlife and livestock.		

Part 2. Attributes and Indicators

		Departure from Ecological Site Description/Ecological Reference Areas				
Attribute	Indicators	Extreme	Moderate to Extreme	Moderate	Slight to Moderate	None to Slight
S H	Rills					X
Comments:						
S H	Water Flow Patterns				X	
Comments:						
S H	Pedestals and/or Terracettes			X		
Comments:	exposed roots on some shrubs.					
S H	Bare Ground			X		
Comments:	Bare ground is connected.					
S H	Gullies					X

Comments:						
S	Wind-scoured, Blowouts, and/or Deposition Areas				X	
Comments:	Some small blow-out areas					
H	Litter Movement				X	
Comments:	Moving toward Moderate. Very little litter in innerspaces.					
S H B	Soil Surface Resistance to Erosion			X		
Comments:						
S H B	Soil Surface Loss or Degradation			X		
Comments:	Indicated by low organic material and pedestalling.					
H	Plant Community Composition and Distribution Relative to Infiltration and Runoff				X	
Comments:						
S H B	Compaction Layer					X
Comments:						
B	Functional/Structural Groups			X		
Comments:	Lack of desirable shrubs and grass diversity					
B	Plant Mortality/Decadence					X
Comments:						
H B	Litter Amount			X		
Comments:	low 5-8% litter.					
B	Annual Production			X		
Comments:	lack of grasses and shrubs - only mat forming grasses					
B	Invasive Plants				X	
Comments:	A little juniper, mostly cholla					
B	Reproductive Capability of Perennial Plants				X	
Comments:						
S	Physical/Chemical/Biological Crusts					X
Comments:						
B	Wildlife Habitat				X	
Comments:						
B	Wildlife Populations					X

Comments:						
B	Special Status Species Habitat					
Comments:	Not applicable					
B	Special Status Species Populations					
Comments:	Not applicable					

Part 3. Summary

A. Indicator Summary - Each of the indicators are associated with one or more of the attributes below. An indicator is placed in a category (columns) above and summed for each of the Standard Attributes.

Standard Attribute		Extreme	Moderate to Extreme	Moderate	Slight to Moderate	None to Slight
S	Soil	0	0	4	2	4
H	Hydrologic	0	0	5	3	3
B	Biotic	0	0	5	3	3

B. Attribute Summary. In this table, the Extreme and Extreme to Moderate columns in the table above are merged for the *Does not Meet* column, Moderate becomes *May Need More Info*, and Slight to Moderate and None to Slight merge to form the *Meets* columns. Values from the table are summarized below. Space is provided for rationale of the determination. This space should most certainly be used when the determination by the ID team conflicts with the summarized values. Provide the sources of information that lead to the determination. X out the appropriate box for each attribute to denote final agreed upon determination by the ID team.

Attribute	Rationale	Does Not Meet	May Need More Info	Meets
Soil		0	4	6
Hydrologic		0	5	6
Biotic		0	5	6

Site Notes: Determine where water sources, tubs and fences are; this study may be misplaced. Recommend implementing a rotational grazing system to allow for growing season rests. This study location is within 0.25 miles of a water location and should be moved.

Determination of Public Land (Rangeland) Health for 63108 ANCHO

The Record of Decision (ROD) for the New Mexico Standards for Public Land Health and Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management (dated January 2001) adopted three Standards for Public Land Health. These are (1) Upland Sites Standard, (2) Biotic Communities, Including Native, Threatened, Endangered, and Special Status Species Standard and (3) Riparian Sites Standard.

The ROD also established a process for the BLM Field Offices for implementation. Through a public participation process, the Roswell Field Office developed and adopted indicators to use in conjunction with existing monitoring data to assess these standards.

Field assessment worksheets and other available data that evaluate the local indicators were completed for this allotment. Based on these assessments, it is my determination that public land within Ancho, allotment #63108, meets the (1) Upland Sites standard and (2) Biotic Communities, including Native, Threatened, Endangered, and Special Status Species standard. There are no public land Riparian areas on this allotment; therefore this standard was not addressed.

/s/ J. Howard Parman	03/03/2010
Assistant Field Manager	Date